



IFW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of: Darin Trees)
For: Therapeutic Exercise Device) Conf. No. 6098
Serial No.: 10/695,117) Art Unit: 3764
Filed: October 28, 2003) Examiner: Lori Baker Amerson

AMENDMENT/RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

February 11, 2009

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

To Whom It May Concern:

Enclosed is an Amendment/Response to the Office Action which was mailed on February 4, 2009 in connection with the application identified above, along with a postcard receipt addressed to Applicant's attorney. Please file the Amendment/Response, mark the postcard with the date of receipt and return it to the undersigned attorney. Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

David J. Hill
Attorney for Applicant
(Registration No. 28427)
Chambliss, Bahner & Stophel, P.C.
1000 Tallan Building
Two Union Square
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2500
423/756-3000

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail with postage prepaid in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, on February 11, 2009.

Donna Guy
Date of Signature: February 11, 2009



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:	Darin Trees)		
)		
For:	Therapeutic Exercise Device)	Conf. No.	6098
)		
Serial No.:	10/695,117)	Art Unit:	3764
)		
Filed:	October 28, 2003)	Examiner:	Lori Baker Amerson

AMENDMENT/RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

February 11, 2009

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

To Whom It May Concern:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed on February 4, 2009. Claims 1 and 3-20 are pending in the application. Claims 1, 3, 4 and 6-20 have been allowed. Objection has been raised to the drawings because of a use of each of reference numbers 120 and 122 for multiple components, and to claim 5 because of the resulting ambiguities in the drawings and specification. Applicant has amended the specification and the drawing of Figure 18 in order to remove the confusing uses of reference numbers 120 and 122. Applicant believes that these amendments also remove the ambiguity in claim 5, thereby placing claim 5 in condition for allowance; consequently, he respectfully requests reconsideration of the application in view of such amendments.